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INTRODUCTION
Cranioplasty is a common, but formidable surgical procedure for neurosurgeons, in patients with scalp and/or calvarial defects. This procedure can be simple or complex. There is evidence of cranioplasty having been performed by several early cultures, including pre-Columbian Incans, using gold or silver plates, and by neolithic Celts using bone ‘rondelles’. However, the first reported cranioplasty was probably that of a Russian nobleman who, after receiving a sword blow to the head, had the resultant defect (and his health) restored with a piece of dog’s cranium (Van Meeker, 1668). Subsequently, after he had been excommunicated from the Russian church (which could not accept the presence of animal bone on a human skull), removal of the graft was impossible, due to bone union. The primary aim of this article is to review the basic principles to use the split calvarial graft for the reconstruction of a skull defect.

AIM OF SURGERY
The main objectives of cranioplasty are: To achieve primary wound healing, obliterate dead space, and seal off sterile cranial areas from contaminated oronasal cavities, to restore the normal barriers protecting the intracranial structures (together with a satisfactory cosmetic result) and obtain a permanent or very durable reconstruction, using biologically inert materials, and also to restore the aesthetics. The greatest problem is selecting the optimum material for repair of the cranial defect. Many synthetic substitutions of the dura and bone are often used for reconstruction of the skull base; unfortunately, these methods bear significant disadvantages and can induce chronic inflammation, carry a high risk of infection, and are inferior to biological sources in terms of strength and sealing quality [with the exception of some materials, such as titanium meshes and CortossTM (Orthovitaita, Malvern, USA), which are seen to have more strength than the thin split thickness calvarial bone]. The primary aim of this article is to review the basic principles to use the split calvarial graft for the reconstruction of the skull defect.
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INDICATIONS
Pathological defects or alterations in the shape of the calvarium may be caused by a number of processes, including traumatic defects, resection of benign or malignant tumors, congenital lesions, and iatrogenic injuries, out of these more common causes of skull defects, including trauma, neurosurgical procedures, and infections. Most calvarial reconstructions are performed immediately unless the wound is infected. If infection is present, the reconstruction must be delayed until the infection has been treated.

MATERIAL CHOICE
Reconstruction of skull defects is technically challenging,
but can be achieved with the use of biological tissue, such as the split calvarial bone graft or posterior wall of the sinus or iliac crest, or with artificial materials, such as the 3D titanium mesh.\[5,17,18\] The ideal substitute for undertaking cranioplasty must be biocompatible, strong, and lightweight; it must be malleable, to precisely fit even complicated cranial defects, nonmagnetic, chemically inert; radiolucent; non-ferromagnetic; readily available; inexpensive and easily secured, and must have long-term stability. However, no such material currently exists, making natural bone the obvious choice to be used as cranioplasty material.\[18\] Alloplastic implants have the advantage of being readily available, easy to handle and shape, and undergo minimal resorption, however, alloplastic implants are permanent foreign bodies that are susceptible to infection and exposure over time. The advantages of reconstruction with autologous bone include a lower incidence of graft loss than occurs with alloplastic material. Also, exposure and infection of the autologous bone can sometimes be managed without complete graft loss, whereas, when alloplastic materials become exposed or infected, often the only choice is removal of the foreign material.\[19\] (exceptions are porous polyethylene sheet (Medpor) allografts, as their infection can be managed by intravenous antibiotics).\[20-23\] Furthermore, the mechanical, immunological, and technical-grafting properties of autologous bone, together with its superior esthetic, and psychological effects, probably make it the best material for cranioplasty.\[1\]

**TECHNIQUE**

The split calvarial bone technique was popularized by Tessier in 1932,\[24\] and first applied in nasal bone reconstruction by Jackson et al.\[25\] The preferred skin incision is generally the coronal one, as it provides a wide exposure of the skull surface and may often proffer simultaneous visualization of the bony defect and the area identified for harvest of the graft.\[1,12,13,26\] After a bicoronal incision, the scalp flap is raised, a plane is created between the pericranium and skull periosteum, and the region that requires cranioplasty is prepared. Any dural dehiscence or loss is first repaired, grafted if required, and can be sealed with fibrin glue or an equivalent. The external surface of the skull is then exposed by subgaleal dissection. Subsequently, the outlines of the cranial defect are traced onto a sheet of transparent plastic and then transferred onto the surface of the skull, chosen as a harvest area. The next step is resection of the graft for which several different techniques can be employed.\[12,26-28\] A bone flap of the same size is removed from the skull and the outer and inner tables can be split apart and used to reconstruct the defect or defects. For this technique a section of the donor skull to be used is split and the outer table is applied to cover the craniotomy defect, leaving the inner table to cover the donor site. Split calvarial grafts result in an aesthetically pleasing contour.\[12,13,29\] Larger, split-thickness cranial bone grafts can be used to replace numerous smaller fracture fragments, greatly facilitating the fixation device application and providing much thicker scaffolding, which will better maintain soft tissue contour during remodeling and new bone formation.\[13,30\] If possible a single-stage procedure will allow the patient to undergo only one procedure rather than two or more staged operations and avoid several weeks with a substantial bony defect.\[11\] Calvarial bone grafts have the benefit of being harvested from the same operative field as the defect. Split outer table grafts are taken from the parietal region of the skull, posterior to the coronal suture, where the skull is the thickest [Figures 1 and 2]. The graft must not be harvested in the midline because of the risk of injuring the sagittal sinus.\[19\]

## ADVANTAGES

Although calvarial bone grafts are used today for various scalp defect reconstructions, the complication rates related to the bone graft are surprisingly low.\[10\] Many studies have reported no resorption and no loss of calvarial transplants after repair, on a short-term follow-up, ranging from 1 to 3.7 years.\[31-35\] There is almost a unanimous agreement that autogenous calvarium possesses far better characteristics and quality than the most widely used alloplastic materials currently available.\[1\] There is no type of metal, acrylic resin, or any other type of alloplastic material that fulfills this wide range of requisites, and it is much simpler, less expensive, and safer for the patient to use autologous bone whenever possible.\[1,12,13,15,36\] Apart from this, fresh autologous bone is the most suitable material for reconstruction of cranial defects in view of its perfect histocompatibility, optimal mechanical properties, and good anatomofunctional fusion of the graft with the adjacent bone, as well as the possibility of partial or total revitalization of the graft itself.\[1\] Live tissue is biologically active and fuses quickly with the adjacent bone, giving excellent results.\[1\] Also, the autologous bone ensures the best possible physiological and cosmetic results, autologous bone grafts usually display bone regeneration processes, do not have a foreign body reaction, and present a low incidence of infection.\[37\] In addition, calvarial bone grafts.
in the pediatric age group are fairly malleable, allowing reconstructive surgeons to reproduce the precise contour of the calvarium with relative ease.\(^\text{[18]}\)

**DISADVANTAGE**

The greatest problem is selecting the optimum material for repair of the cranial defect.\(^\text{[2]}\) Many synthetic substitutions of dura and bone are often used for reconstruction of the skull base; unfortunately, these methods bear significant disadvantages and can induce chronic inflammation, carry a high risk of infection, and are inferior to the biological sources in terms of strength and sealing quality,\(^\text{[38,39]}\) [with the probable exception of some materials, such as titanium mashes and Cortoss\textsuperscript{TM} (Orthovita\textsuperscript{®}, Malvern, USA), which prove to have more strength than the thin split thickness calvarial bone].\(^\text{[40-42]}\)

A disadvantage of calvarial grafts is the limited size of the graft available, particularly when the defect is adjacent to the graft donor site. Another disadvantage of calvarial grafts is the risk of violating the inner table or dura during harvest.\(^\text{[19]}\) Correction of large calvarial defects with autografts may also be quite time consuming.\(^\text{[3,43]}\) Moreover, both the donor and recipient sites are less biomechanically stable than the adjacent skull.\(^\text{[3]}\) Splitting of the bone requires experience, as sometimes the bone cracks into several pieces. However, the pieces of bone can easily be fixed with miniplates and screws. Therefore, splitting of the complete bone is not necessary.\(^\text{[2]}\) Other complications specific to the bone relate to its harvest: split calvarial grafts carry the risk of intracerebral hematoma, subarachnoid hemorrhage, dural tears, and CSF leaks.\(^\text{[1,2,16]}\)
CONCLUSION

In summary, calvarial bone grafts are used today for various scalp defect reconstructions, with incidence of complication rates related to the bone graft being surprisingly low. As no specific cost analysis has been attempted, it is difficult to compare whether the added cost of the synthetic material would be offset by the time saved in the operating room compared to calvarial bone grafting and the subsequent rigid fixation.
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